## Pupil premium strategy statement - Rookery School 2023/26 (3 year plan)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of pupils in school | 462 |
| Proportion (\%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | $49 \%$ |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium <br> strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | $2023-2026$ |
| Date this statement was published | Sept 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | Sept 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | Seema Samuel |
| Pupil premium lead | Seema Samuel |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Karandeep Sehra |

## Funding overview

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | $£ 289,545.00$ |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | $£ 31,946$ |
| Pupil premium (and recovery premium*) funding carried <br> forward from previous years (enter $£ 0$ if not applicable) <br> *Recovery premium received in academic year 2021 to <br> 2022 can be carried forward to academic year 2022 to <br> 2023. Recovery premium received in academic year 2022 <br> to 2023 cannot be carried forward to 2023 to 2024. | $£ 0$ |
| Total budget for this academic year <br> If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this <br> funding, state the amount available to your school this <br> academic year | NA |

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

EEF guidance https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/production/documents/guidance-for-teachers/pupil-premium/Pupil-Premium-2023.pdf?v=1696434151

High quality teaching - Making sure an effective teacher is in front of every class, and that every teacher is supported to keep improving, is especially important for socioeconomically disadvantaged pupils. Investing in high quality teaching should rightly be a top priority for Pupil Premium spending. Strategies to support this could include investing in professional development, training, support for early career teachers, and recruitment and retention.

Wider Strategies
Significant non-academic challenges-such as attendance, behaviour, and social and emotional needs-can have a negative impact on academic outcomes. Addressing wider barriers to learning is an important part of any Pupil Premium strategy. While
many challenges may be common between schools, the specific features of the community your school serves will affect which approaches you prioritise in this category

Targeted academic support
We know from a wide body of evidence that targeted academic support can have a positive impact on learning, particularly for those Pupil Premium pupils who are not making good progress. Considering how classroom teachers and teaching assistants can provide targeted academic support-including through structured small-group interventions that link to classroom teaching and the curriculum-is an essential part of an effective Pupil Premium strategy.

Pupils who are eligible for free school meals, or have been eligible in the past 6 years $£ 1,455$ per pupil

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge <br> number | Detail of challenge |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Underdeveloped oral language skills. Effective application of speech and <br> language skills; acquisition, retention and application of subject specific <br> vocabulary. |
| 2 | Ensure all disadvantaged pupils pass the phonics screening <br> Continue to achieve consistency with phonics teaching and build on the <br> $93 \%$ achieved 2022, 89\% 2023 |
| 3 | Writing outcomes are improved for all children, especially <br> disadvantaged |
| 4 | Attainment in reading is in line with national <br> 5 <br> The percentage of disadvantaged children achieving greater depth <br> standard is in line with national. <br> 6 | | Continue to improve the cultural capital of our DP pupils through music, |
| :--- |
| art, drama and visits. |


| 7 | Persistence absence is $25 \%$ at Rookery and nationally $17 \%$ for all pupils <br> Rookery PP children have $30 \%$ are persistently absent compared with <br> NPP who are persistently absent $17.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Children's oral language skills significantly <br> improve. New vocabulary linked to the <br> curriculum is embedded, children's <br> reading and writing outcomes improve. | Assessments and lesson observations <br> indicate significantly improved oral <br> language among disadvantaged pupils. <br> Book monitoring of writing outcomes <br> show improvement and 75\% of children <br> achieve nationally expectations in <br> reading and writing throughout all Key <br> stages. Disadvantaged pupils are in line <br> with national and peers. <br> Reading- 30\% of children achieve <br> greater depth standard <br> Writing - greater depth standard is in <br> line with national for all pupils. |
| All disadvantaged pupils achieve the phonics <br> screening. | There is continued consistency, rigour and <br> fidelity with the RWI phonics programme. <br> $90 \%+$ of children pass the phonics <br> screening. |
| Writing outcomes are improved for all <br> children, especially disadvantaged pupils. | Book monitoring, moderation and teacher <br> assessments in KS2 demonstrate that <br> disadvantaged children are achieving in line <br> with peers and at least 75\% are working at <br> national expectations and 20\% at greater <br> depth standard (DP in line in \%) |
| Reading is in fully in line with national by KS2 | In KS2 reading is in line with national at <br> $74 \% ~(67 \%$ in 2023) for both groups - DP <br> and Non DP. <br> Greater depth standard for both groups is <br> $29 \% ~ i n ~ l i n e ~ w i t h ~ n a t i o n a l ~(2023 ~ a l l ~ p u p i l s ~$ |
| $20 \%$ ) |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { DP children learn a stringed instrument } \\ \text { successfully through KS2- they perform as } \\ \text { part of an ensemble. }\end{array} \\ \text { DP children's outcomes in art are in line with } \\ \text { peers. } \\ \text { DP children perform regularly in every } \\ \text { drama production. Their confidence and } \\ \text { public speaking skills show progress. } \\ \text { DP children visit the Shakespearian } \\ \text { properties in Stratford on Avon and are } \\ \text { successful as guides for visitors. }\end{array}\right\}$

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

## Teaching

Budgeted cost: $£ £ 208,444$

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challe <br> nge <br> numbe <br> r(s) <br> addres <br> sed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Read Write Inc <br> development <br> days with a <br> trainer to <br> monitor the <br> fidelity and <br> rigour of phonics <br> teaching | (htps:/leducationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- <br> evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics <br> Phonics/Early Reading Lead non class based <br> £48187 (SH) <br> Phonics results are in the top 20\% of schools for the second year <br> runing. <br> Rookery 89\% National 79\% <br> Rookery DP 93\% National DP 67\% | 2,4 |
| Oral Language <br> intervention <br> early years. | £6838 (LN) <br> High impact for low cost | (1,3 |


| 'WellComm Oracy Framework' | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Reading/Phonics monitoring day | $£ 1000$ <br> Little Sutton Reading Hub - to look at quality of Early Reading provision | 2,4 |
| 'Talk for Writing' CPD for all staff with Handsworth Association of Schools | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/talk-for-writing? utm source=/projects-and-evaluation/projects/talk-forwriting\&utm medium=search\&utm campaign=site search\&searchterm= Talk\%20for\%20writing $£ 5500$ | 3 |
| Traded services <br> Speech and <br> Language <br> Therapist 2 days per week | £29000 <br> Requirement for children with ASC, speech delay/communication needs | 1 |
| Embed metacognitive strategies through small teaching groups of 15-20 for core subjects with expert teachers <br> Very high impact strategy based on extensive research by EEF | https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teach-ing-learning-toolkit/ <br> JB, JK, MC £117,919 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,3,4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £59000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge <br> number(s) <br> addressed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Led Tutoring | $£ 32000$ (Recovery Premium allocated $£ 31845$ ) <br> nttps:/leducationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- | $1,4,5$ |
| Y5/Y6 small group <br> tutoring, pre tutoring <br> for reading and <br> maths, and 'Fresh <br> Start' | Supports: <br> $-\quad$ Effective Feedback <br> $-\quad$ Effective teaching of metacognitive <br> strategies |  |


| DP children - all <br> ability levels, HA <br> children in maths | Individualised instruction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1: 1$ and small group <br> phonics <br> interventions | £27000 (AR) <br> Individualised instruction |  |

## Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £92500

| Activity | Evidence that supports this <br> approach | Challenge <br> number(s) <br> addressed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Curriculum Visits- to <br> bring the curriculum to <br> life. Improve cultural <br> capital | $£ 30000$ <br> Governors have agreed to support <br> families with costs. | 6 |
| Rookery Artist in <br> Residence / <br> projects/arts resourcing | $£ 45000$ | 6 |
| Music tuition - all KS2 <br> children learn a string <br> instrument -cello, guitar <br> or violin | £11000 <br> Improves cultural capital |  |
| Play Therapist- Hearts <br> and Minds | £6500 <br> Improve emotional well-being of targeted <br> pupils with ACEs (adverse childhood <br> experiences) | 6 |
|  |  |  |

Total budgeted cost: $£ £ 359,944$ (not including Recovery Premium)

## Part B: Review of the previous academic year

## Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

| Outcomes for Disadvantaged pupil 2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATTAINMENT \& ASSESSMENTS |  |  |
|  |  | Rookery School (2481) |
| Subject | Level | Value |
| Reading (test), Writing (TA) | 2EXS/Exp.Std. | 53.3\% |
| \& Maths (test) | GDS/High Score | 8.3\% |
| Reading | ¿Exp.Std. | 66.7\% |
|  | High Score | 20.0\% |
| Writing (TA) | $\geq$ EXS | 61.7\% |
|  | GDS | 10.0\% |
| Maths (test) | $\geq$ Exp.Std. | 68.3\% |
|  | High Score | 20.0\% |


| Rookery has 48\% DP compared with the national DP of $26 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y6 test data 2023 | Rookery \% DP at Expected level | Rookery \% DP GD | Rookery \% NDP <br> Expected level | Rookery \% NDP GD |
| Reading/writing/maths | 51 | 9 | 56 | 7.4 |
| Reading | 67 | 18 | 67 | 22 |
| Writing | 54 | 9 | 70 | 11 |
| Maths | 67 | 21 | 70 | 18 |

Nationally in 2023 in reading, writing and maths (combined), 44\% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard in 2023 compared to $66 \%$ of other pupils, a difference of 22 percentage points.

DP achievement 2023
Headlines -Phonics results are outstanding Rookery are in the top 20\% of schools for the second year running.
Rookery 89\% National 79\%
Rookery DP 93\% National DP 67\%

## Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

| Programme |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| WellComm Oracy Framework |  |
| Speech and Language therapist |  |
| Play therapist |  |
| GL Assessments <br> Smart Grade assessments |  |

